

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,032	08/19/2005	Svein Ivar Sagatun	2005_0165A	4877
	7590 12/22/2006 I, LIND & PONACK, L.L.	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREE	-	UPTON, CHRISTOPHER		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,, 20 20000 1021	·	1724	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/22/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	tion No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/524,032	SAGATUN	SAGATUN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Christopher Upton	1724	·			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
<ul> <li>WHICHEVER IS LONG</li> <li>Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set of</li> </ul>	STORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a mailing date of this communication. Bed above, the maximum statutory period were extended period for reply will, by statute, a later than three months after the mailing it. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMN 36(a). In no event, however, vill apply and will expire SIX ( cause the application to be	MUNICATION.  may a reply be timely filed  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication. 33).			
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on						
·	AL. 2b)⊠ This						
<u>' —</u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	e pending in the application						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	l)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
· <u> </u>	7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-+</u> is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·					
_	a abjected to by the Everying	•					
·	s objected to by the Examine		ad to by the Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §							
•							
	s made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some	•	hava baan raasii sa	_				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
·	3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO/SB/08)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)							

Art Unit: 1724

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The structure of the device should be clarified. For example, the structure of the "pipe separator" and how it differs from the supply pipe is unclear. Indefinite language, such as "preferably" and "for example" should be avoided. Functional language, such as the recitations of oil, water and the levels, should have a structural basis or be expressed in means plus function form.

2. Claims 2-4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claims 2-4 recite functional method limitations without a structural basis, and therefore fail to limit an apparatus claim.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marker, Hall, Komistek or European Patent 1044711.

Marker, Hall, Komistek and the European patent each disclose an oil/water/gas separator having a container with outlets for each fluid and an inlet pipe at approximately the interface, as claimed.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Homan, Steadman, Adams, Polderman, Combs and Lovelady. Hemstock discloses a desanding vessel of similar structure, but is not prior art.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724